

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,933	06/06/2006	Masahiro Watanabe	12336/10:1	1816
3528 STOEL RIVES	7590 11/24/200 S I I P	EXAMINER		
900 SW FIFTH		LISTVOYB, GREGORY		
SUITE 2600 PORTLAND	OR 97204-1268	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
i omizano,	011772011200	1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,933	WATANABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	

	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance of	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked: Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rais	oted alaims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number or finally reje	cted ciairis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	nnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplicate / arionalitione (i	I OL OL+).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796					
	•						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1-2. Applicant argues that the claimed structure is not disclosed by the references cited. Examiner disagrees. Pariet heaches the polyminde of the claimed formula. Kuromatsu and Jin teach sulfonic groups. The combination of the references above brings the claimed structure. 3. Applicant argues that Kuromatsu, Jin and Lee do not teach aliphatic groups in the main chain of the polymer. However, Parish (primary reference) teaches aliphatic groups in the main chain. 4. Applicant argues that there is no sufficient motivation to combine the references. Examiner disagrees. All the references belong to one field of endeavor, i.e. area of conducting polymide. Kuromatsu and Jim clearly provide an advantage over Parish, introducing sulficing groups linked to a polymer, which eliminates leakage of an active component and provides stability of the conducting media.